# Midtown Manhattan Office

110 East 59th Street, Suite 2300 New York, New York 10022

### **Boston Office**

225 Franklin Street, 26th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110

#### **Newark Office**

One Gateway Center, Suite 2600 Newark, New Jersey 07102

### Orlando Office

300 N. New York Ave, Suite 832 Winter Park, Florida 32790

Jon L. Norinsberg, Esq.

jon@norinsberglaw.com

Downtown Manhattan Office

One World Trade Center, 85th Floor

New York, NY 10007

Philadelphia Office

1650 Market Street, Suite 3600

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

December 27, 2024

## **VIA ECF**

Hon. Jennifer L. Rochon United States District Court Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street, Room 20B New York, N.Y. 10007-1312 (212) 805-0204

> Re: Saunders v. Matawana, LLC Case No. 1:24-cv-7123 (JLR)(JW) Joint Status Letter

Dear Judge Rochon:

We represent Plaintiff Michael Saunders ("Plaintiff") in the above-referenced Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") matter against Defendant Matawana, LLC ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties"). Together with Defendant's counsel, we submit this joint letter in accordance with this Court's Order issued on September 20, 2024 (ECF Doc. 4).

Pursuant to this Court's Order, the Parties have conferred on multiple occasions since the Notice of Appearance by Defendant's counsel, David Stein, Esq., was filed on November 14, 2024 (Dkt. 9). During these discussions, the potential resolution of the pending matter has been a central focus. Although we have not yet reached a settlement, there is a reasonable probability that we will be able to do so in the near future.

We have engaged in detailed discussions to address the pertinent issues of this case. These discussions have been constructive and are aimed at finding a mutually agreeable resolution that would be fair and equitable for both parties. Given the progress made during these negotiations, we remain optimistic about reaching a settlement that would obviate the need for further judicial

intervention.

Plaintiff asserts that we believe the active Complaint clearly meets the recent Second Circuit decision in *Calcano v. Swarovski N. Am. Ltd.*, 36 F.4th 68, 77-78 (2d Cir. 2022); and *Harty v. W. Point Realty, Inc.*, 28 F.4th 435, 443-44 (2d Cir. 2022). the complaint is more than sufficiently detailed to comport with the requisite elements, including, "(1) when Plaintiff attempted to access to the website, (2) what Plaintiff was attempting to do on the website, (3) the specific barriers that prevented Plaintiff from gaining access, and (4) how Plaintiff intended to utilize the website in the future." *See, Loadholt v. ShirtSpace*, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36924, at \*5-6 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 6, 2023) Defendant, in contrast, believes that it is unlikely that the complaint is sufficient to satisfy the *Calcano* standard, in that the relevant allegations are vague and conclusory, but to the extent the complaint is sufficient, expects that preliminary discovery will reflect plaintiff's lack of standing.

However, to ensure that the resolution process remains on track, we propose a brief adjournment of the Initial Case Conference and all associated hearings for 45-days. This will allow the Parties additional time to discuss potential terms of an agreement without imposing unnecessary demands on the Court's schedule and resources. Should the parties not reach an agreement, we concede to the assignment of this case to mediation (or to proceed with an initial status conference.)

Sincerely,

Arjeta Albani, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

110 East 59th Street, Suite 2300 New York, New York 10022

Tel. No.: Tel: (212) 791-5396 Fax No.: (212) 406-6890 Arjeta@employeejustice.com

c.c.

Stein & Nieporent, LLP David Stein, Esq. 1441 Broadway, Suite 6090 New York, NY 10018 New York, NY 10018 (212) 308-3444